Europe Solidaire Sans Frontières > English > World > The world today (World) > **Tougher line: US-China tensions soar as 'new cold war' heats up**

Tougher line: US-China tensions soar as 'new cold war' heats up

Wednesday 17 October 2018, by BORGER Julian (Date first published: 16 October 2018).

Rivalry escalates amid concerns over trade, as warships nearly collide and an FBI trap angers Beijing.

The US and China have shrugged off rules and constraints that have kept their 21st-century global rivalry in check, opening the way for an escalating conflict on many fronts that neither side appears willing or able to stop.

Chinese officials have accused Washington of starting a new cold war [1], but the jostling between the two powers has already shown its potential to turn hot through accident or miscalculation, if action is not taken to defuse tensions.

Within the past few weeks, as a trade war loomed between the two countries, US and Chinese warships came within yards of colliding in the South China Sea [see below]. And the FBI set a trap in Belgium for a senior Chinese intelligence official and had him extradited to the US, provoking fury in Beijing [2].

Washington has meanwhile significantly ramped up its bellicose rhetoric portraying China as a dangerous adversary. In a UN security council meeting last month Donald Trump accused Beijing – without citing evidence – of seeking to oust him through interference in US elections [3].

A few days later, his vice-president, Mike Pence, expanded on the accusation [4], saying China was pursuing a "whole-of-government approach" including "coercive" methods to interfere in US domestic politics to bring to power "a different US president". Pence, like the president, did not supply evidence for the claim.

Most experts said that China – though a leader in economic espionage that has sought to lobby against Trump's tariff policies – was not trying to hack the US elections in the way Russia had meddled in the 2016 vote that brought Trump to Oval Office.

But the Chinese government has ended a cyber ceasefire agreed between the president, Xi Jinping, and Barack Obama in 2015, unleashing armies of hackers once more in pursuit of the trade secrets of US firms.

And Beijing has ordered its navy to use more far aggressive tactics to stop US and allied ships sailing near islands and reefs in the South China Sea it has claimed and turned into military outposts in order to assert control of strategic sea lanes.

The 2015 Xi-Obama cyber ceasefire [5] did not halt cyber espionage by any means, but it did lead to a drastic reduction in the wholesale theft of intellectual property by the Chinese state for the competitive benefit of Chinese industry. That truce is now well and truly over, according to Dmitri Alperovitch, the co-founder of the CrowdStrike cybersecurity firm.

"CrowdStrike can now confirm that China is back (after a big drop-off in activity in 2016) to being the predominant nation-state intrusion threat in terms of volume of activity against Western industry," Alperovitch said on Twitter [6].

"A few months after the September 2015 Obama-Xi agreement, we witnessed about 90% drop in Chinese nation-state sponsored intrusions against Western commercial sector. They started to pick it back up in 2017 and the trend has only accelerated since then."

Christopher Painter, who was the top US cyber diplomat under the Obama administration, said that Beijing agreed to the 2015 cyber deal because they did not want the threat of sanctions to overshadow a state visit by Xi.

"It was not seen just as a cyber issue but an economic and national security issue that affected the overall relationship," Painter, now a commissioner at the Global Commission for the Stability of Cyberspace, said. "Certainly there was still hacking going on but it did have a substantial decrease."

"If the reported increase is true, I would ascribe in part to this deterioration of the overall relationship, because that's what brought them to the table in the first place."

The US meanwhile has stepped up its counter-measures, moving from playing defence – patching vulnerabilities and identifying Chinese cyber assailants – to going on the offence.

Last week, the US justice department for the first time managed to extradite a senior Chinese intelligence officer, Yanjun Xu, who been lured to Belgium on 1 April, thinking he was going to be handed trade secrets from US aviation companies on a thumb drive from a source he had recruited [7]. The Belgian authorities, working with their American counterparts, were waiting for him.

Chinese officials have described the allegations about Xu as fabricated "out of thin air". In response to questions about allegations of Chinese spying, Lu Kang, a spokesman for China's ministry of foreign affairs, said: "The accusations are unfounded. We urge the US to stop using so-called 'cybertheft' to discredit China … and harm Sino-US relations."

Lu said he hoped the US and its allies would "abandon ... a cold war mentality and this "zero-sum" game" and treat China's development with "openness, inclusivity and cooperation".

China is no longer just challenging the US at trade negotiating tables and in the shadows of cyber espionage. Its armed forces are pushing its zone of influence out into the Pacific, seizing control of disputed islands and reefs in the South China Sea, building military outposts on them and claiming the waters around them.

The US and its allies have sought to defy those claims and keep international sea lanes open by sending their navies on "freedom-of-navigation" patrols [8]. In the past, the Chinese navy has observed these patrols and warned the ships to stay away from the newly colonised islands.

On 30 September, however, Beijing tried something different and a lot more risky. As the destroyer USS Decatur was sailing through the Spratly Island chain, a Chinese warship overtook it and abruptly cut in front of it, coming within 45 yards, and forcing the Decatur to make an emergency turn to avoid collision [9].

"It's a big jump in China's reaction to freedom of navigation operations," said retired Rear Admiral Michael McDevitt, who commanded a US aircraft carrier battle group in the Pacific. "What this suggests to me is that Beijing is fed up with freedom of navigation operations and elected to violate

the memorandum of understanding that the US and China agreed to three years ago about how they behave when warships are around each other."

McDevitt, now a senior fellow at the Center for Naval Analyses, said the Chinese stunt also violated agreements on exchanging signals on the high seas and an international protocol on seamanship. It was a signal that China is no longer interested in abiding by the existing rules.

Trump's national security adviser, John Bolton, made it clear last week that the US would not back down.

"The commanders have the authority we need. We will not tolerate threats to American service members. We're determined to keep international sea lanes open. This is something the Chinese need to understand," Bolton said in a radio interview on Thursday [10].

His message was that the Trump administration was going to take a tougher line than its predecessor when it came to stopping Chinese moves to rewrite the international order to suit Beijing's interests.

"They've never seen an American president this tough before. I think their behavior needs to be adjusted in the trade area, in the international, military and political areas, in a whole range of areas," Bolton said. "And if they're put back in the proper place they would be if they weren't allowed to steal our technology, their military capabilities would be substantially reduced. And a lot of the tensions we see caused by China would be reduced."

China, which holds more than \$1tn in US debt [11], is equally determined not to be "put back in place".

Some analysts say that the recent episodes, while dramatic, have not undone the last 15 years of dramatic improvement from previous diplomatic impasses, like the US bombing of a Chinese embassy in Belgrade in 1999, or US sanctions on China after protests in Tiananmen in 1989 were violently put down by the Chinese military.

Trump and Xi may meet at the G20 meeting in Argentina at the end of November, and both have a strong incentive to try to reset relations.

"It's part of the bargaining process, taking very tough stances. You try to really cause pain for the other side," said Dali Yang, a professor focusing on China's political economy at the University of Chicago.

"The Chinese leadership has taken on a much more cautious stance, not encouraging the entire country to rally against the US. They have been careful in modulating the temper of the Chinese press. The two sides are dancing very delicately even amid the tough rhetoric."

Julian Borger in Washington Lily Kuo in Beijing

• The Guardian, Tue 16 Oct 2018 23.03 BST Last modified on Wed 17 Oct 2018 12.21 BST: https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/oct/16/us-china-new-cold-war-tensions

Chinese warship sails within yards of US destroyer in 'unsafe' encounter

Chinese ship approached the USS Decatur in the South China Sea and conducted 'aggressive maneuvers', a US official said.

A Chinese warship sailed within yards of an American destroyer – forcing it to change course – in an "unsafe and unprofessional" encounter as the US vessel was in contested waters in the South China Sea, according to a US official.

The USS Decatur guided-missile destroyer was conducting what the military calls a "freedom of navigation operation" on Sunday, when it passed within 12 nautical miles of Gaven and Johnson reefs in the remote Spratly Islands.

China's defence ministry said on Tuesday that a Chinese naval ship had been sent to warn the US vessel to leave, saying it was resolutely opposed to an operation that it called a threat to its sovereignty.

The foreign ministry in Beijing said in a separate statement it strongly urged the United States to stop such "provocative" actions.

The 12-mile distance is commonly accepted as constituting the territorial waters of a landmass.

Beijing claims all of the Spratly chain as part of its sweeping claims across much of the South China Sea.

During the operation, a Chinese Luyang destroyer approached the USS Decatur in "an unsafe and unprofessional maneuver in the vicinity of Gaven Reef in the South China Sea," Cdr Nate Christensen, US Pacific Fleet spokesman, said on Monday.

The Chinese ship then conducted a series of "increasingly aggressive maneuvers, and warned the Decatur to depart the area," he added.

The Chinese "destroyer approached within 45 yards of Decatur's bow, after which Decatur maneuvered to prevent a collision".

US-Chinese relations have been strained on multiple levels since Donald Trump became president in 2017.

A trade war launched by Trump has infuriated Beijing, as did his authorization of a \$1.3bn arms sale to Taiwan, which China considers a rebel province.

Washington last week enacted new tariffs against China covering another \$200bn of its imports.

China has taken a series of retaliatory measures, including scrapping a US warship's planned port visit to Hong Kong and canceling a meeting between the head of the Chinese navy and his American counterpart.

On Monday, a US defense official said that security talks due to take place later this month in Beijing between the defense secretary, Jim Mattis, and his Chinese counterpart had been canceled.

AFP in Washington

• The Guardian, TTue 2 Oct 2018 02.26 BST First published on Mon 1 Oct 2018 22.51 BST:

https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/oct/01/chinese-warship-american-destroyer-uss-decatur-unsafe-encounter

P.S.

- Since you're here...
- ... we [The Guardian] have a small favour to ask. More people are reading the Guardian than ever but advertising revenues across the media are falling fast. And unlike many news organisations, we haven't put up a paywall we want to keep our journalism as open as we can. So you can see why we need to ask for your help.

The Guardian is editorially independent, meaning we set our own agenda. Our journalism is free from commercial bias and not influenced by billionaire owners, politicians or shareholders. No one edits our editor. No one steers our opinion. This is important because it enables us to give a voice to the voiceless, challenge the powerful and hold them to account. It's what makes us different to so many others in the media, at a time when factual, honest reporting is critical.

If everyone who reads our reporting, who likes it, helps to support it, our future would be much more secure. For as little as $\{1$, you can support the Guardian – and it only takes a minute. Thank you.

Footnotes

- [1] https://www.nytimes.com/2018/10/05/world/asia/pence-china-speech-cold-war.html
- [2] https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/oct/10/chinese-official-charged-stealing-us-trade-secrets-yanjun-xu
- [3] https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/sep/26/trump-china-beijing-election-midterms-interference-claim
- [4] https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/oct/04/mike-pence-china-us-elections-meddling
- [5] https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2015/sep/23/china-usa-truce-cyber-espionage
- [6] https://twitter.com/DAlperovitch/status/1050097354869788673
- [7] https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/chinese-intelligence-officer-charged-economic-espionage-involving-theft-trade-secrets-leading
- [8] https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/may/30/south-china-sea-us-will-keep-confronting-beijing-mattis
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} [9] $https://gcaptain.com/photos-show-confrontation-between-uss-decatur-and-chinese-navy-warship-in-disputed-south-china-sea/ \end{tabular}$
- [10] http://www.hughhewitt.com/national-security-advisor-john-bolton-on-his-trip-to-russia-the-mis

sing-saudi-jamal-khashoggi-iran-china-and-big-tech/

 $[\underline{11}]\ \underline{https://www.nytimes.com/2018/10/09/business/dealbook/china-trade-war-nuclear-option.html}$